

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. SOM 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				-	
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,666 02/08/2002		Gyanendra Kumar	13172.0015U1	3290	
75	90 05/08/2003				
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.			EXAMINER		
Suite 1200 The Candler Bu			CHUNDURU, SU	JRYAPRABHA	
127 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30303-1811			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1637	7	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003	+	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)				
		10/072,666 KUMAR ET AL.						
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	·			
		Suryaprabha C	hunduru	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with th correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the mailing star of the maximum star of the	N. 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory m od will apply and will expin tute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin inimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 February 2002 .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-	final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims	er Ex parte Quayle	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-138 is/are pending in the applica							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>137 and 138</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-136</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
· ·	•	nor						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			, , ,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been rec	eived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
, اار. Attachmen	•	priority under						
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper Nor Patent Application (PT				

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction/Election

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-136, drawn to a method of detecting of detecting one or more analytes, classified in class 435, subclass 6, and 7.2.
 - II. Claims 137-138, drawn to a kit, classified in class 536, subclass 22.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in materially different processes such as nucleic acid purification assays and cloning assays.

Group I is independent and distinct from Group II because (a) a product of Group II can be used in cloning assays and can be obtained from naturally occurring sources or can be synthesized chemically.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with the Attorney of the record, Robert A. Hodges on April 24, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-136. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office

Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

Application/Control Number: 10/072,666

action. Claims 137-138 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

- 5. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-136) is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 6. The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) (Paper Nos. 4 and 5) filed on 5/28/02 and 9/25/02 respectively, has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The instant claims recite "reporter binding molecules" / "specific binding molecules" which are indefinite and unclear because it is not clear whether these binding molecules refer to primers or probes or peptides or peptide nucleic acids or any chemical compound. Amendment to recite clearly these binding molecules would obviate this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,666

Art Unit: 1637

Claims 1, 12-113, 118-136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kingsmore et al. (USPN. 6,531,283).

With reference to the instant claims 1, 30, 43-49, 107, 124-126, 133-136, Kingsmore et al. teach a method for detecting one or more analytes comprising (a) bringing into contact one or more analyte samples and one or more reporter binding molecules (reporter primers), wherein each reporter binding molecule comprises a specific binding molecule and an amplification target circle, wherein each specific binding molecule interacts with an analyte directly or indirectly, incubating the analyte samples and the reporter binding molecules under conditions that promote interaction of the specific binding molecules and analytes (see column 41, lines 33-55); (b) decoupling or capturing (see column 36, lines 21-24) or separating analyte capture agents after interaction with analyte samples, thus separating analytes from analyte samples (see column 42, lines 55-60, column 14, lines 21-24); (c) bringing into contact the amplification target circles and one or more rolling circle replication primer(s), wherein the amplification target circles each comprise a single-stranded, circular DNA molecule comprising a primer complement portion, wherein the primer complement portion is complementary to at least one of the rolling circle primers and incubating the rolling circle replication primers and amplification target circles and the rolling circle replication primers (see column 41, lines 56-67); (d) incubating the rolling circle primers and amplification target circles under conditions that promote replication of the amplification target circles wherein replication of the amplification target circles results in the formation of presence of the corresponding analytes (see column 42, lines 32-39). Kingsmore et al. also teach reporter binding molecules further comprise capture probe (capture agents) (see column 42, lines 40-45);

With reference to the instant claims 12-22, Kingsmore et al. teach that the method comprises circle linkers (capture docks), wherein circle linker comprises cleavable bond which could be a disulfide bond, heterobifunctional succinimide bond (sulfo-GMBS) maleimide bond, dihydroxy bond or amino linking group (reactive group) which can be cleavable by treatment with a reducing agent (see column 14, lines 25-67, column 15, lines 1-4, column 30, lines 4-10).

With reference to the instant claims 23-29, Kingsmore et al. also teach that the method comprises (i) plurality of reporter binding molecules are brought into contact with the one or more analyte samples (see column 42, lines 40-42); (ii) plurality of analyte samples are brought into contact with the one or more reporter binding molecules (see column 42, lines 43-45); (iii) at least one of the analyte samples comprise a protein or peptide, a lipid, glycolipid or proteoglycan (see column 42, lines 46-49); (iv) at least one of the analytes is from a human source and a non-human source (see column 42, lines 50-53); and none of the analytes are nucleic acids (see column 42, lines 54-55);

With reference to the instant claims 31-32, 35, Kingsmore et al. teach that the method comprises capture agent(s) and analyte(s) associated with a solid support and the solid support comprises different reaction chambers or predefined regions (see column 42, lines 61-67); the said solid support comprises acrylamide, agarose, cellulose, nitrocellulose, glass, polystyrene or polyamino acids (see column 43, lines 29-37);

With reference to the instant claims 36-42, Kingsmore et al. also disclose that the method comprises (i) bringing into contact at least one of the analyte samples with at least one accessory molecule affecting interaction of at least one of the analytes and at least one of the capture agents simultaneously with or following step(a) (see column 43, lines 39-48); (ii) at least one analyte

Art Unit: 1637

and accessory molecule are associated with the solid support simultaneously with or following step(a) (see column 43, lines 49-55); (iii) the accessory molecule is a protein kinase, a protein phosphatase, an enzyme or a compound (see column 43, lines 56-58); (iv) interaction of accessory molecule of interest, with one or more analytes are test molecules of interest are detected (see column 43, lines 59-65);

With reference to the instant claims 43-75, Kingsmore et al. also teach that the method comprises (i) one or more first analyte samples and one or more second analyte samples, one or more first reporter binding molecules, one or more second reporter molecules, wherein each different reporter binding molecule is different and each different rolling circle primer primes replication of a different amplification target circle and produces a different tandem sequence DNA (see column 44, lines 9-43); (ii) the tandem sequence DNA corresponding to one of the analyte samples produced in association with an analyte capture agent is in the same location on the solid support as tandem sequence DNA corresponding to the same analyte and produced in association with the matching second analyte capture agent, wherein presence or absence of the same analyte in different analyte samples is indicated by the presence or absence of corresponding tandem sequence DNA (see column 44, lines 53-67, column 45, lines 1-5); (iii) at least one analyte and accessory molecule are associated with the solid support simultaneously with or following step(a) (see column 45, lines 6-55); (iii) the accessory molecule is a protein kinase, a protein phosphatase, an enzyme or a compound (see column 45, lines 36-38); (iv) the accessory molecule is an analog and facilitates interaction of at least one of the analyte capture agents (see column 45, lines 27-35); accessory molecule is at least 20%, 50%, 80%, 90% pure and is associated with solid support (see column 45, lines 39-47);

Art Unit: 1637

With reference to the instant claims 76-83, Kingsmore et al. teach that the method comprises modified form of analyte wherein at least one or more analyte capture agents interacts directly or indirectly with the modified analyte, wherein the modification is post-translational modification, that is phosphorylation or glycosylation (see column 45, lines 55-65); detection of tandem sequence DNA is accompanied by mixing a set of detection probes under conditions to promote hybridization, wherein plurality of different tandem sequence DNAs are detected separately or simultaneously via multiplex detection (see column 45, lines 66-67, column 46, lines 1-7); detection probes are labeled using combitorial multicolor coding (see column 46, lines 8-9); the method further comprises brining into contact a secondary DNA strand displacement primer and the tandem sequence DNA, and incubating under conditions that promote (i) hybridization between the tandem sequence DNA and the secondary DNA strand displacement primer, (ii) replication of the tandem sequence DNA (see column 46, lines 10-19);

With reference to the instant claims 85-106, 128-132, Kingsmore et al. teach that the method comprises detection labels as fluorescent moieties including fluorescent quenchers which are incorporated into nucleic acids during amplification (see column 15, lines 55-67, column 16, lines 1-18).

With reference to the instant claims 108-112, Kingsmore et al. further teach that the method comprises (i) treating one or more analyte samples so that one or more samples modified (see column 26, lines 15-48); bringing into contact one or more analytes and one or more arrays wherein each array comprises a set of analyte capture agents, a set of accessory molecules, each interacting directly or indirectly with an analyte, contacting one or more reporter binding molecules under conditions promoting interaction of the specific binding molecules analytes,

Application/Control Number: 10/072,666

Art Unit: 1637

analyte capture agents and accessory molecules, replicating with rolling circle replication primers to form tandem sequence DNA (see column 26, lines 50-67, column 27, lines 1-23); (ii) comprises solid support wherein components are immobilized to the solid support at a density exceeding 400 different components per cubic centimeter (see column 21, lines 8-19);

With reference to the instant claims 113-123, Kingsmore et al. also teach that the method comprises (i) analyte capture agents as peptides (see column 13, lines 59-66) immobilized on a solid support comprising 20% to 99% pure capture agents (see column 15, lines 5-20); (ii) comprises peptide, antibodies (antibodies are made up of short peptides) which comprise amino acids of about 20 amino acids (see column 13, lines 59-67, column 14, lines 1-11). Thus the disclosure of Kingsmore et al. meets the limitations in the instant claims.

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 12-113, 118-136 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-72 of U.S. Patent No.6,531,283. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because as discussed in the above rejections the limitations in the instant claims

Application/Control Number: 10/072,666

Art Unit: 1637

are encompassed by the patented claims. The only variation is the inclusion of decoupling step which is an obvious variation to the step of separating analyte-capture agents from the analyte samples disclosed in the patented claims as discussed in the above rejection. Therefore the instant claims are rejected under obviousness-type of double patenting.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kingsmore et al. (USPN. 6,531,283) and in view of Lizardi et al. (6,403,319).

Kingsmore et al. teach a method for detecting one or more analytes comprising (a) bringing into contact one or more analyte samples and one or more reporter binding molecules (reporter primers), wherein each reporter binding molecule comprises a specific binding molecule and an amplification target circle, wherein each specific binding molecule interacts

Art Unit: 1637

with an analyte directly or indirectly, incubating the analyte samples and the reporter binding molecules under conditions that promote interaction of the specific binding molecules and analytes (see column 41, lines 33-55); (b) decoupling or capturing (see column 36, lines 21-24) or separating analyte capture agents after interaction with analyte samples, thus separating analytes from analyte samples (see column 42, lines 55-60, column 14, lines 21-24); (c) bringing into contact the amplification target circles and one or more rolling circle replication primer(s), wherein the amplification target circles each comprise a single-stranded, circular DNA molecule comprising a primer complement portion, wherein the primer complement portion is complementary to at least one of the rolling circle primers and incubating the rolling circle replication primers and amplification target circles and the rolling circle replication primers (see column 41, lines 56-67); (d) incubating the rolling circle primers and amplification target circles under conditions that promote replication of the amplification target circles wherein replication of the amplification target circles results in the formation of presence of the corresponding analytes (see column 42, lines 32-39). Kingsmore et al. further teach that reporter binding molecules further comprise capture probe (capture agents) (see column 42, lines 40-45). Kingsmore et al. also teach that the method comprises (i) analyte capture agents as peptides (see column 13, lines 59-66) immobilized on a solid support comprising 20% to 99% pure capture agents (see column 15, lines 5-20). However, Kingsmore et al. did not teach non-covalent interaction (base-pairing) of circle probe with reporter binding molecules and capture probe comprising oligonucleotide.

Lizardi et al. teach a method for analysis of sequence based coupling of the amplified fragments to detector probes wherein Lizardi et al. teach that the method comprises detector

probes (oligonucleotides) having a free 5' end or a free 3'-end and a label (reporter) coupled to the probes bounded either covalently or non-covalently to the component (see column 11, lines 66-67, column 12, lines 1-10, column 14, lines 1-17). Lizardi et al. also teach incorporation of peptide molecules into the probes with varying mass labels (see column 38, lines 44-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the method of detecting one or more analytes as taught by Kingsmore et al. with the method as taught by Lizardi et al, to develop an enhanced method for the detection of analyte(s) because Lizardi et al. states that "efficiency of hybridization and coupling of detector probes to sample fragments can be improved by grouping detector probes of similar hybrid stability in sections or segments of a probe array that can be subjected to different hybridization conditions" (see column 13, lines 14-19). An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to combine the method of detecting one or more analytes as taught by Kingsmore et al. with the inclusion of the limitations taught by Lizardi et al. in order to achieve the expected advantage of a rapid and sensitive method for detecting analyte(s) because inclusion of such limitations would enhance the sensitivity of the detection method.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 703-305-1004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzien reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru May 2, 2003

GARY BENZION, PH.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600